



CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited in the United States Postal Service as first class mail in the envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on

10/15/04

(Date of Deposit)

*Sarah M. Mays*  
Sarah M. Mays

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application of

Applicant : Stephen P. Adams et al.  
Serial No. : 10/629,188  
Filed : July 29, 2003  
Title : METHOD FOR PRINTING IN NORMAL AND BORDERLESS  
PRINTING MODES  
Docket : 2003-0090.01  
Examiner : Lamson D. Nguyen  
Art Unit : 2861

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

COMMENTS ON EXAMINER'S REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Applicants acknowledge the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance accompanying the Notice of Allowance.

Applicants agree with the Examiner's Reasons For Allowance for claim 1, but Applicants believe that claim 1 is also allowable because of the limitation, taken alone or together with the other limitations of claim 1, that the at-least-one computer program performs a step of determining the size of the image. Applicants also believe that, regardless of the allowance of independent claim 1, dependent claims 2-14 are allowable because of their added limitation(s).

With respect to the Examiner's Reasons For Allowance for claims 18-20, Applicants believe that the phrase "a determined image size" and the phrase "the determined image size" should be changed to "the image". Applicants also believe that, regardless of the allowance of independent claim 18, dependent claims 19-20 are allowable because of their added limitation(s).

Serial No.: 10/609,271

Attorney Docket No.: 2002-0460.02

Comments on Examiner's Reasons for Allowance

Applicants agree with the Examiner's Reasons For Allowance for claim 15, but Applicants believe that claim 15 is also allowable because of the limitation, taken alone or together with the other limitations of claim 15, that the borderless printing mode leaves no unprintable margin from two perpendicular edges of the sheet. Applicants also believe that claim 15 is also allowable because of the limitation, taken alone or together with the other limitations of claim 15, that the at-least-one computer program performs a step of determining the size of the image. Applicants additionally believe that claim 15 is also allowable because of the limitation, taken alone or together with the other limitations of claim 15, that the at-least-one computer program performs the step of automatically determining if the image size can fit within the maximum printable area of the sheet for the normal printing mode. Applicants further believe that, regardless of the allowance of independent claim 15, dependent claims 16-17 are allowable because of their added limitation(s).

Respectfully submitted,

  
John V. Pezdek  
Reg. No. 30,208

Lexmark International, Inc.  
740 West New Circle Road  
Building 82-1, Dept. 865A  
Lexington, KY 40550  
(859) 232-7839